

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4296-12/24**

**Naeem Ahmed**

**Vs**

**Pakistan Cricket Board**

July 17, 2025

Haider Butt Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter received on 03-07-2025, furnished the answers to the queries of the appellant in tabulated form along with the reply to query number 3 of the information request. Said information was shared with the appellant, who has acknowledged its receipt, but vide his email dated 15-07-2025 has submitted that the information shared with him does not include any of the financial or operational data specially requested. The Commission has examined the contents of the information request, written reply, and details of earnings as well as expenditure in respect of the Championship ODI Cup.
2. It is noted that, as per the statement of the learned counsel for the public body made today, said championship has taken place only in Iqbal Stadium Faisalabad and nowhere else. The public body has furnished details of revenue generated from said event along with expenditure. The earnings disclose breakdown such as team sponsorship, title sponsorship, and gate money.
3. Similarly, breakdown of the money in 12 heads has been furnished by the public body. This information covers all the queries of the appellant made in his information request. Similarly, the response to query 3 has been given in the last paragraph of the written reply, which also meets the requirement of the information request of the appellant. Hence, the objections raised by the appellant are turned down. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4579-02/2025**

**Imran Asghar**

**Vs**

**Higher Education Commission (HEC)**

July 17, 2025

Muhammad Haider Ali Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The appellant vide his information request dated 18-01-2025 addressed to the Chairman, HEC required attested copies of the result card of the Law Graduate Assessment Test (Law GAT) of a candidate namely Farakh Shahzad, son of Muhammad Shakir, having CNIC No. 35102-6997749-9; soft copy of the application for admission for Law GAT; educational documents of the said candidate; and attested copy of the Law GAT policy of HEC. Said documents were allegedly not provided to the appellant, therefore he filed this appeal.
2. Upon receiving the notice from this Commission, the public body, vide letter dated 25-05-2025, furnished written reply. Copy of the same was shared with the appellant, who acknowledged its receipt but raised objection that the plea of the public body taken in the written reply claiming exemption under Section 7(g) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017 is not lawful. Therefore, a request is made to pass a direction to HEC to provide a certified copy of the required documents.
3. The Commission has examined the contents of the information request, memo of the appeal, reply furnished by the public body, and objections raised by the appellant. As far as documents required at serial 1, 2, and 3 of the information request are concerned, the public body has taken the plea that the Education Testing Council – HEC issues result cards exclusively to the candidates who appeared in the examination of Law GAT. The result cards are available on the portal through individual login credentials.
4. The results published on the ETC-HEC portal are deemed authentic and certified for licensing of Law Graduates with the Pakistan Bar Council. The validation of other requirements is the responsibility of the licensing body. As these cards contain personal information, they are made available solely to the respective candidates; hence, under Section 7(g) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017, being personal record of the individual, exemption is provided under the law.
5. As far as query 4 of the information request is concerned, the public body has submitted that the relevant policy is available on the official webpage of the public body at

[www.hec.gov.pk/english/services/students/etc/pages/important-downloads.aspx](http://www.hec.gov.pk/english/services/students/etc/pages/important-downloads.aspx).

6. The Commission has examined the information required by the appellant and the relevant law on the subject. Admittedly, the information required by the appellant is in respect of a specific candidate who appeared in the Law GAT test and passed the test from HEC.
7. The allegation of the appellant is that the LLB degree of the said candidate is fake and issued in connivance with the HEC officials. His further contention is that the record of a specific candidate is not a record of personal privacy and is public record.
8. Section 7 of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017 excludes certain records and provides that nothing contained in Section 6 shall apply to the records mentioned in clauses (a) to (h) of the said provision. Under Sections 7(g) and 7(h) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017, it is provided that records relating to the personal privacy of any individual and records of private documents furnished to a public body either on an express or implied condition that the information contained in any such document shall not be disclosed to a third party.
9. The record required by the appellant pertains to the personal privacy of the individual and cannot be disclosed to any other person without his consent as provided in the law referred above. Therefore, the objection raised by the appellant is not tenable and is turned down.
10. In view of the assertions made by the appellant regarding Islamic teachings and jurisprudence, if he so likes, he may approach the Council of Islamic Ideology or the Federal Shariat Court for any interpretation.
11. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4486-02/2025**

**Aashir Ali**

**Vs**

**Establishment Division**

July 17, 2025

Naseer Ahmed, Deputy Secretary, Establishment Division appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 29-04-2025 under RGL No. 153081380. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. The Commission has also examined the information request and the response of the public body and found that it meets the requirements of the information request. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4573-03/2025**

**Abid Khan**

**Vs**

**Prime Minister's Performance Delivery Unit (PMDU)**

July 17, 2025

Ijaz Hussain, Documentation Officer, PMDU appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. He has produced copy of the order of the Islamabad High Court passed in W.P. 774/2025, whereupon the order of this Commission in Appeal No. 4239-11/2024 has been suspended by the Honorable Court till the next date of hearing. He has stated that this matter also falls in the same category and may be adjourned till the decision of the Islamabad High Court in W.P. 774/2025.
2. The Assistant Director, Pakistan Information Commission, was called. The file of Appeal No. 4239-11/2024 was perused, whereupon it is revealed that the matter is of an identical nature, and it would not be appropriate to proceed further in the presence of a restraining order passed by the Islamabad High Court.
3. It has also been noticed that the office has failed to submit para-wise comments in this case, for which the Assistant Director is directed to be vigilant enough to avoid such complications. He is directed to send the comments to the Islamabad High Court by 23-07-2025 without any further delay.
4. In view of the position stated, the proceedings in this appeal is *sine die adjourned*. In case the order of the Commission is sustained by the Islamabad High Court, the proceedings will start again.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner

**Pakistan Information Commission**

**Government of Pakistan**

**Order**

**Appeal No 4561-03/2025**

**Nazeer Ahmed**

**Vs**

**Auditor General of Pakistan (AGP)**

July 17, 2025

Mubbashir Mehmood, Director FAO, Auditor General Officer,  
Muhammad Ameer, Assistant AO, AGP appeared on behalf of  
the public body.

1. The response provided by the public body on 21-04-2025 under RGL No. 153080866 was received. A rejoinder dated 22-04-2025 was received, which was shared with the public body vide letter dated 02-05-2025 under RGL No. 153081391.
2. The response of the public body was received, which was again shared with the appellant vide letter dated 24-06-2025 under RGL No. 153082627.
3. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

**Ijaz Hassan Awan**  
Information Commissioner

**Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui**  
Chief Information Commissioner